



Ontario Land Tribunal

655 Bay Street, Suite 1500, Toronto, ON M5G 1E5

Tel: 416-212-6349 | 1-866-448-2248

Web Site: olt.gov.on.ca

Participant Status Request Form and Participant Statement Form

This form is expected to be provided at least 10 days in advance of the first hearing event to the Tribunal and all parties. Please contact the assigned Tribunal Case Coordinator to verify the relevant contact information for the parties. A paper copy of this form must also be provided to the Tribunal Member at the first hearing event.

Important: This form includes your written request for participant status and your participant statement. The presiding Tribunal Member will consider your request and the participant statement provided below at the hearing event prior to determining whether to grant you participant status and accept your participant statement.

Date:

Case Information

Tribunal Case No.:

Date of Case Management Conference/Hearing:

Contact Information

Last Name:

First Name:

Company Name:

Telephone Number:

Email Address:

Representative Contact Information (if applicable)

Last Name:

First Name:

Company Name:

Telephone Number:

Email Address:

- I certify that I have written authorization to act as a representative and I understand that I may be asked to produce this authorization at any time.

Status Request Details and Participant Statement

A person who wishes to participate in a proceeding as a participant (and not a party) may only make a submission to the Tribunal in writing in accordance with section 17 of the Ontario Land Tribunal Act and Rule 7.7 of the OLT's *Rules of Practice and Procedure*.

In the space below, describe your interest in the case, your position on the issues and an explanation of your reasons in support of your position. You may also provide documentation or attachments to support your request. The information you provide will be your participant statement.

Participant Statement

I sent a Status Request by email on Friday September 27, 2024 but as I didn't receive an email confirmation of receipt, I am sending again. Please see attached .pdf file.

Participant Statement: Ruth Snowden 22 Thirty Sixth Street, Etobicoke, ON M8W 3K9

OLT-24-000882

Case Management Conference/ Hearing 2024/10/18

Ours is a residential community of detached homes, semi-detached, triplex and low-rise apartment buildings. Commercial activity along Lakeshore Blvd. W. provides banking, libraries, grocery shopping, restaurants, etc. The plans as those proposed:

- Are for buildings that are too high and will block sun to many existing homes.
- Are more suited for a location on Lakeshore Blvd where there is transit and commercial activity. The increase in density at this proposed location will strain all existing facilities.
- Do not consider the noise, dirt, traffic and aggravation for over a decade during construction, ruining the quiet, walkable neighbourhood for existing residents.
- Have insufficient parking. Get real. Anyone living along the lake will need a car to schlepp home groceries, ferry kids to school and sports etc. And they will need somewhere to park.
- And, these are not 'market rental' apartments where tenants would use transit. They will be expensive, high-rise, privately held condominiums owned by people who can afford them – and who most certainly will have at least one car per unit.
- Sewers, water, roads, sidewalks will all need to be upgraded at immense expense and additional disruption, noise and aggravation to current residents.
- The existing rent-controlled apartments are perfectly adequate except for the fact that the property management has not invested in the buildings for the past few years since they decided to destroy them.
- After being evicted for many years, residents are not likely to come back here to live. The new units will most certainly not be 'affordable' housing. The new residences are not 'family friendly'. They are small, expensive, high-rise condos, built not to support affordable housing or improve the neighbourhood but to provide the investors with lucrative payouts.

I could go on, but you get the point. The proposed development does not suit this neighbourhood and is not in keeping with our Long Branch community.