Action No.: A20987901R

E-File No.: ECP15PAQUETTEFRAN

Appeal No.: _____

IN THE PROVINCIAL COURT OF ALBERTA JUDICIAL CENTRE OF EDMONTON

HER MAJESTY THE QUEEN

v.

FRANCOIS PAQUETTE

Accused

PROCEEDINGS

Edmonton, Alberta June 9, 2015

Transcript Management Services, Edmonton 1000, 10123 99th Street Edmonton, Alberta T5J-3H1

Phone: (780) 427-6181 Fax: (780) 422-2826

TABLE OF CONTENTS

Description		Page
June 9, 2015	Afternoon Session	1
Discussion		1
Certificate of Record		5
Certificate of Transcript		6

3 June 9, 2015 4	Afternoon Session
5 Commissioner Yaverbaum	Provincial Court of Alberta
5 7 J.P. Quenneville	For the Crown
G. Levesque	For the Accused
P. Faulmino	Court Clerk
) ————	
l	
2 Discussion	
3	
	Good afternoon, sir, Quenneville, initiation. I am here on the matter of Francois Paquette. My friend
here for Mr. Paquette, I ui	nderstand.
	TTT 1
THE COMMISSIONER:	Thank you.
application is that Mr. Pac counsel wishes to join the the legality of a regulation	ournment application. Crown is not opposing. The nature of t quette through counsel has requested a French language trial. H
MR. QUENNEVILLE: understand it to be an adj application is that Mr. Pac counsel wishes to join the the legality of a regulation	ournment application. Crown is not opposing. The nature of t quette through counsel has requested a French language trial. For matter with a Queen's Bench application in Calgary to contain which prohibits that and the
o MR. QUENNEVILLE: understand it to be an adj application is that Mr. Pac counsel wishes to join the the legality of a regulation THE COMMISSIONER: Offences Procedure Act Pa	ournment application. Crown is not opposing. The nature of to quette through counsel has requested a French language trial. He matter with a Queen's Bench application in Calgary to content which prohibits that and the
o MR. QUENNEVILLE: understand it to be an adj application is that Mr. Pac counsel wishes to join the the legality of a regulation THE COMMISSIONER: Offences Procedure Act Pa	ournment application. Crown is not opposing. The nature of the quette through counsel has requested a French language trial. He matter with a Queen's Bench application in Calgary to content which prohibits that and the I presume you are referring to the <i>Province</i> revincial regulation section 12?
o MR. QUENNEVILLE: understand it to be an adj application is that Mr. Pac counsel wishes to join the the legality of a regulation THE COMMISSIONER:	ournment application. Crown is not opposing. The nature of the quette through counsel has requested a French language trial. He matter with a Queen's Bench application in Calgary to content which prohibits that and the I presume you are referring to the <i>Province</i>
MR. QUENNEVILLE: understand it to be an adj application is that Mr. Pac counsel wishes to join the the legality of a regulation THE COMMISSIONER: Offences Procedure Act Pa	ournment application. Crown is not opposing. The nature of to quette through counsel has requested a French language trial. From the matter with a Queen's Bench application in Calgary to content which prohibits that and the I presume you are referring to the <i>Province</i> rovincial regulation section 12? Naturally, Your Honour.
o MR. QUENNEVILLE: understand it to be an adj application is that Mr. Pac counsel wishes to join the the legality of a regulation THE COMMISSIONER: Offences Procedure Act Pa MR. LEVESQUE: THE COMMISSIONER: that excludes the French Criminal Code.	ournment application. Crown is not opposing. The nature of the quette through counsel has requested a French language trial. He matter with a Queen's Bench application in Calgary to content which prohibits that and the I presume you are referring to the <i>Province</i> rovincial regulation section 12? Naturally, Your Honour. Which which that is the one that exclude
MR. QUENNEVILLE: understand it to be an adj application is that Mr. Pac counsel wishes to join the the legality of a regulation THE COMMISSIONER: Offences Procedure Act Pa MR. LEVESQUE: THE COMMISSIONER: that excludes the French Criminal Code.	ournment application. Crown is not opposing. The nature of the quette through counsel has requested a French language trial. He matter with a Queen's Bench application in Calgary to content which prohibits that and the I presume you are referring to the <i>Province</i> rovincial regulation section 12? Naturally, Your Honour. Which which that is the one that excluding Language, English language rights and provisions of the country of the province of the country
MR. QUENNEVILLE: understand it to be an adj application is that Mr. Pac counsel wishes to join the the legality of a regulation THE COMMISSIONER: Offences Procedure Act Pr MR. LEVESQUE: THE COMMISSIONER: that excludes the French Criminal Code. MR. LEVESQUE: challenge is more the Reg that.	ournment application. Crown is not opposing. The nature of the quette through counsel has requested a French language trial. For matter with a Queen's Bench application in Calgary to contain which prohibits that and the I presume you are referring to the <i>Province</i> revincial regulation section 12? Naturally, Your Honour. Which which that is the one that excluding Language, English language rights and provisions of the Criminal Code. No, the (INDISCERNIBLE)
MR. QUENNEVILLE: understand it to be an adj application is that Mr. Pac counsel wishes to join the the legality of a regulation THE COMMISSIONER: Offences Procedure Act Pr MR. LEVESQUE: THE COMMISSIONER: that excludes the French Criminal Code. MR. LEVESQUE: challenge is more the Reg that.	I presume you are referring to the <i>Province</i> rovincial regulation section 12? Naturally, Your Honour. Which which that is the one that exclude Language, English language rights and provisions of the <i>Criminal Code</i> . No, the (INDISCERNIBLE gulation 158/2013 of the <i>Language Act</i> pursuant to section 4
MR. QUENNEVILLE: understand it to be an adj application is that Mr. Pac counsel wishes to join the the legality of a regulation THE COMMISSIONER: Offences Procedure Act Pr MR. LEVESQUE: THE COMMISSIONER: that excludes the French Criminal Code. MR. LEVESQUE: challenge is more the Reg that.	ournment application. Crown is not opposing. The nature of the quette through counsel has requested a French language trial. He matter with a Queen's Bench application in Calgary to content which prohibits that and the I presume you are referring to the <i>Province</i> revincial regulation section 12? Naturally, Your Honour. Which which that is the one that exclude the Language, English language rights and provisions of the Criminal Code. No, the (INDISCERNIBLE)

1 2	MR. LEVESQUE:	No, that was a long time ago.
3	THE COMMISSIONER:	That was the
	MR. LEVESQUE:	That is under the new
6 7 8	THE COMMISSIONER: considered, I believe, in the <i>Caron</i> case f	These are some of the issues that were from the Alberta Court of Appeal.
9	complacted, I concret, in the concin case I	Tom the Theorem Court of Tippeun
10 11	MR. LEVESQUE:	Section 3.
	THE COMMISSIONER:	Yes.
14	MR. LEVESQUE:	Section 3, that is
15 16 17	THE COMMISSIONER: I take it.	There is another issue that is being raised now,
18	I take It.	
19 20	MR. LEVESQUE: is in the Supreme Court of Canada. We a	This is different from this one because section 3 are waiting for the decision.
21 22	THE COMMISSIONER:	Okay.
22 23 24	MR. LEVESQUE:	That is only the law in French. This one is the
22 23		That is only the law in French. This one is the
22 23 24 25 26	MR. LEVESQUE:	That is only the law in French. This one is the
22 23 24 25 26 27 28 29 30	MR. LEVESQUE: ability to use one of the two languages of THE COMMISSIONER:	That is only the law in French. This one is the f the court under section 4.
22 23 24 25 26 27 28 29 30 31 32	MR. LEVESQUE: ability to use one of the two languages of THE COMMISSIONER: the Court MR. LEVESQUE: THE COMMISSIONER:	That is only the law in French. This one is the f the court under section 4. Well, section 4 allows the defendant to speak to
22 23 24 25 26 27 28 29 30 31	MR. LEVESQUE: ability to use one of the two languages of THE COMMISSIONER: the Court MR. LEVESQUE:	That is only the law in French. This one is the f the court under section 4. Well, section 4 allows the defendant to speak to Yes.
22 23 24 25 26 27 28 29 30 31 32 33 34 35	MR. LEVESQUE: ability to use one of the two languages of THE COMMISSIONER: the Court MR. LEVESQUE: THE COMMISSIONER:	That is only the law in French. This one is the f the court under section 4. Well, section 4 allows the defendant to speak to Yes.
22 23 24 25 26 27 28 29 30 31 32 33 34 35 36 37	MR. LEVESQUE: ability to use one of the two languages of THE COMMISSIONER: the Court MR. LEVESQUE: THE COMMISSIONER: is that correct?	That is only the law in French. This one is the f the court under section 4. Well, section 4 allows the defendant to speak to Yes. in the language of his choice as I recollect it,
22 23 24 25 26 27 28 29 30 31 32 33 34 35 36 37 38	MR. LEVESQUE: ability to use one of the two languages of THE COMMISSIONER: the Court MR. LEVESQUE: THE COMMISSIONER: is that correct? MR. LEVESQUE:	That is only the law in French. This one is the f the court under section 4. Well, section 4 allows the defendant to speak to Yes. in the language of his choice as I recollect it, Yes.

in the language of choice. There seems to be multiple issues here, including the provisions 1 2 of the *Procedures Act* regulation which takes out the provisions of the *Criminal Code* so 3 to not apply to the procedure which is used for matters under the Act, but the Crown and 4 the defence are both in agreement that this matter should go over. And of course I remind 5 both parties that because of the provisions of the Justice of the Peace Act, if there is going to be an application under the Canadian Charter of Rights and Freedoms it has to 6 7 be made to a Provincial Court Judge, as well, because I do not have jurisdiction to deal 8 with *Charter* applications.

9

So if there is going to be something, hopefully it will all be wrapped up before it comes back to this court, rather than us having to do it -- bifurcate it and do it in two parts, hopefully.

13

14 MR. QUENNEVILLE:

If it assists you, Sir, my friend has already

begun a procedure in Calgary to which he intends to join --

1516

18

17 THE COMMISSIONER:

Okay.

19 MR. QUENNEVILLE:

-- this accused as one of his plaintiffs. His --

I'm given to understand his pre-trial -- or, not a pre-trial conference, but I guess a case management conference in Calgary September 22nd we are proposing to return to this

court October 15th at 2:00 in 272 in the docket court there just to set a date at that time if

appropriate.

24

25 THE COMMISSIONER: I take it this is at the request of both the Crown

and the defence?

27

26

29

31

28 MR. LEVESQUE:

Yes.

30 THE COMMISSIONER:

Well, it seems to me -- the request seems to me

quite reasonable that we await the decisions of courts that may be dealing with this issue

and may have some definitive guidance for this court as to what should be done.

3233

34 MR. QUENNEVILLE:

And just --

35

36 THE COMMISSIONER:

So I am going to grant the adjournment and the

matter will go over to that date, place and time, then. And that is to set a date for trial?

38

37

39 MR. LEVESQUE:

It will be a matter to be spoken to so that we

will inform the Court and the Crown of what has happened.

41

1 2	THE COMMISSIONER:	Okay, to speak to on that date?		
3 4	MR. LEVESQUE:	Yes.		
5	THE COMMISSIONER:	Okay.		
7	MR. QUENNEVILLE:	Thank you.		
	MR. LEVESQUE:	On what has happened on September 22nd.		
	client can appear through counsel rather	And being a and that being a to speak to a in English at that time and I guess my friend's than having to attend personally as it is just a to was going to be for trial, but we are not going to Worship having granted the adjournment.		
17 18 19 20 21	is quite appropriate we don't set it over to	COMMISSIONER: Yes. It seems to be a legitimate reason not to roceed with the trial today. And since we have to sort out all these legal issues I think it quite appropriate we don't set it over to a trial date but set it over to a date to speak to. To it will go over to that date, place and time, then, to speak to then.		
22 23	Thank you, gentlemen.			
	MR. LEVESQUE:	Thank you.		
26 27 28	MR. QUENNEVILLE: matter.	Thank you, Sir. If I might be excused, my only		
293031	PROCEEDINGS ADJOURNED UNTIL 2:00) PM, OCTOBER 15, 2015		
32 33				
34				
3536				
37				
38				
3940				
41				

1 Certificate of Record

2 3

I, Priscila Faulmino, certify that this recording is the record made of the evidence of the proceedings in the Provincial Court held in courtroom 270 at Edmonton, Alberta, on the 9th day of June, 2015, and that I was the Court official in charge of the sound-recording machine during the proceedings.

1 Certificate of Transcript I, Laurie Strang, certify that (a) I transcribed the record, which was recorded by a sound recording machine, to the best of my skill and ability and the foregoing pages are a complete and accurate transcript of the contents of the record, and (b) the Certificate of Record for these proceedings was included orally on the record and is transcribed in this transcript. Digitally Certified: 2015-10-23 08:57:48 Laurie Strang, Transcriber Order No. 57688-15-1 35 Pages: 36 Lines: 37 Characters: 38 — 39 File Locator: b6dd86d0799511e598870017a4770810 40 Digital Fingerprint: 6973ec3f9e7d96ad7f22767a23d9986bcf2d335498fc4fe0d9bb1381d1650463 41 —

Detailed Transcript Statistics				
Order No. 57688-15-1				
Page Statistics				
Title Pages:	1			
ToC Pages:	1			
Transcript Pages:	6			
Total Pages:	8			
Line Statistics				
Title Page Lines:	50			
ToC Lines:	4			
Transcript Lines:	227			
Total Lines:	281			
Visible Character Count Statistics				
Title Page Characters:	481			
ToC Characters:	78			
Transcript Characters:	5546			
Total Billable Characters:	6105			
Multi-Take Adjustment: (-) Duplicated Title Page Characters	5624			